

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,552	10/13/2005	Yasushi Sakai	124130	4528
25944 759	90 10/31/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MAI, HUY KIM	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 10/31/200	6 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4			,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Antique Comment	10/537,552	SAKAI, YASUSHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Huy K. Mai	2873			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. RANDONED (35.U.S.C. 8.133)			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 O	ctober 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	_				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	iters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2005</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	l accepted or b)⊠ objo drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage			
	47	Mon			
	11				
Attachment(s)	grand and a				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/05 & 10/06.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
Detection III is a second seco					

Art Unit: 2873

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) filed Jun. 3, 2005 and Oct. 6, 2006 are acknowledged.

#### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because Figs. 1(a-b), Figs. 4(a-c), Figs. 5(a-b), Figs. 6(a-d), Figs. 7(a-c), Figs. 8(a-b), Figs. 9(a-b), Figs. 10(a-b), Figs. 11(a-b), Figs. 13(a-b) and Figs. 15(ab) should be labeled separately such as Fig.1(a), Fig.1(b), Fig.4(a), Fig.4(b), Fig.4(c), Fig.5(a), Fig.5(b), Fig.6(a), Fig.6(b), Fig.6(c), Fig.6(d), ... Fig.15(a) and Fig.15(b), for example. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Art Unit: 2873

## Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the addition of the word "type" to spectacles of rimless extends the scope of the rimless spectacles so as to render the claim indefinite. See Ex parte Copenhaver, 109 USPQ 118 (Bd. App. 1955).

The phrases "the lens holding members" (claim 8, lines 2-3) and "the rotation preventive pin" (claim 9, line 2) have no antecedent basis. The phrase "a specified distance" (claim 10, lines 2 and 3) should be expressed in a better phrase such as –a predetermined distance--, for example. The word "sate" (claim 15, line 2) is typo.

Art Unit: 2873

The remaining claims are dependent upon the above rejected base claim and thus inherit the deficiency thereof.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 8-10 and 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The limitations "a taper pin is provided projecting on a lens holding member for holding the spectacle lens, a taper hole having a taper of approximately the same size as the taper pin is provided on the spectacle lens, the taper pin is directly press-fitted into the taper hole, and by the press-contact between an inner circumferential surface of the taper hole and an outer circumferential surface of the taper pin, the spectacle lens is held." in combination with the claimed subject matter are not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art or any combination thereof. These limitations could be allowable over the prior art of record.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2334. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.).

Application/Control Number: 10/537,552

Art Unit: 2873

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on (571) 272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Huy Mai

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 2873

HKM/ October 27, 2006